

WORKING PAPER 004

When Fear Becomes Torment

The Spirit of Fear, Demonic Oppression, and the Biology of Mental Distress

Alisha Bradley, M.A.

October 2026 | Version 2.0

Independent working paper. This paper presents developing theological convictions, hypotheses, research questions, and interdisciplinary inquiry. It has not undergone peer review.

Abstract

This working paper begins from a Christian theological conviction: the spiritual realm is real, demonic oppression is real, and fear can operate not only as an emotion or physiological state but as a spirit that does not originate with God. Second Timothy 1:7 states that God has not given us a “spirit of fear,” but of power, love, and a sound mind. I understand this contrast ontologically as well as experientially: if the spirit of fear is not of God, I understand it as belonging to the kingdom opposed to God and therefore as demonic in nature. I also carry a developing theological hypothesis that demonic oppression may be a primary spiritual source underlying a substantial portion of what modern psychiatry categorizes as mental illness.

The scientific question begins after that theological claim. If a spirit of fear oppresses an embodied human person, through what biological, cognitive, emotional, perceptual, and behavioral mechanisms would its effects become observable? Contemporary neuroscience can describe fear circuitry, autonomic activation, stress hormones, threat perception, learning, memory, and behavioral adaptation. It cannot presently identify a demonic agent as the initiating cause of those processes. This paper therefore distinguishes theological conviction from empirical demonstration while asking whether theology and biology may sometimes be describing different levels of the same event: a spiritual source of torment and the measurable human systems through which that torment is expressed.

Author's Note

I am developing a methodology and applied modality concerned with the convergence of mind, soul, physical body, and spirit. These working papers document the theological convictions, theoretical propositions, research questions, and interdisciplinary inquiry informing that work.

This paper does not approach demonic oppression as a theological possibility about which I am personally neutral. I affirm the Christian spiritual ontology in which God, the Holy Spirit, angels, Satan, demons, and spiritual conflict are real. I also affirm my interpretation of the “spirit of fear” as a demonic spirit. At the same time, I distinguish that theological conviction from what contemporary scientific methods can independently establish. Scientific rigor does not require me to suspend my theological commitments; it requires me to identify clearly which claims arise from theology, which arise from

empirical evidence, and which remain hypotheses requiring investigation.

The Question I Am Actually Asking

I am not asking whether fear is biological or spiritual. I am asking how a spiritual source of fear may become embodied.

Fear is unquestionably biological in expression. A perceived or anticipated threat can alter heart rate, breathing, muscle tension, attention, memory, endocrine activity, and behavior. The amygdala, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, hypothalamus, autonomic nervous system, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis participate in human threat and stress responses (de Kloet et al., 2005; Roozendaal et al., 2009; StatPearls, 2024).

Christian Scripture also presents a spiritual account of affliction. The New Testament depicts evil or unclean spirits as personal, antagonistic spiritual beings capable of afflicting human beings, and the ministry of Jesus repeatedly includes confrontation with and expulsion of such spirits. New Testament accounts associate demonic activity with physical affliction, convulsive behavior, self-destructive behavior, social alienation, and profound disturbance (Cook, 2024; Thomas, 2011).

My inquiry begins at the intersection of those two descriptions. A human being does not stop having a brain, nervous system, endocrine system, memory, cognition, or emotions because the initiating event is spiritual. If demonic oppression occurs, its effects upon an embodied person would still be perceived, interpreted, remembered, physiologically expressed, and behaviorally responded to through human systems.

The question, then, is not whether biology disproves spiritual causation. The question is: If the spirit of fear oppresses a person, what happens next inside the human organism?

The Spirit of Fear: My Theological Position

Second Timothy 1:7 is commonly translated, "For God has not given us a spirit of fear, but of power and of love and of a sound mind." The Greek phrase is *pneuma deilias*. *Deilia* carries the sense of timidity, cowardice, or fearfulness, while *pneuma* can refer to spirit, breath, wind, or a spiritual disposition depending on context. Lexically, the phrase by itself does not name a demon called "Fear," and exegetical traditions differ regarding the precise referent of *pneuma* in this verse.

My theological conclusion, however, is not based on pretending that the Greek lexicon alone settles the question. It emerges from the verse within the broader spiritual ontology of Scripture. Paul explicitly distinguishes the spirit associated with fear from what God gives. Scripture elsewhere presents spirits that are not of God, depicts evil spirits as agents capable of afflicting people, and repeatedly contrasts the work of God with antagonistic spiritual powers. Within that framework, I understand the spirit of fear as demonic: a spiritual influence opposed to the Spirit, character, and purposes of God.

This is a theological interpretation and conviction. It should be named as such rather than disguised as a lexical fact or presented as though neuroscience has verified it.

First John 4:18 further associates fear with torment or punishment, while Romans 8:15 contrasts a spirit of bondage leading again to fear with the Spirit of adoption. Together, these texts contribute to a biblical pattern in which fear is more than a neutral bodily sensation. Fear can become enslaving, tormenting, identity-shaping, and contrary to the freedom, love, power, and soundness associated with God's Spirit.

My working premise is therefore direct: fear has a normal human biological expression, but there is also a spirit of fear, and I understand that spirit as demonic.

Mental Illness and the Question of Demonic Oppression

My developing position goes further than saying that demonic oppression is merely one rare possibility among many. I am investigating the hypothesis that demonic oppression may represent a primary spiritual source underlying a substantial portion of what modern psychiatry categorizes as mental illness.

That proposition does not require the denial of biology, trauma, genetics, environment, relationships, learned behavior, sleep, substances, medical conditions, or cognitive processes. Those factors are observable and consequential. The question is whether some of them may function as mechanisms, vulnerabilities, consequences, maintaining processes, or points of access within a larger spiritual reality rather than exhausting the explanation of the disorder.

This distinction matters. If a person is oppressed spiritually and the oppression produces chronic fear, the resulting cortisol changes, hypervigilance, sleep disruption, avoidance, altered attention, intrusive cognition, or dysregulated affect would still be biologically real. Discovering those mechanisms would tell us how distress is occurring in the person; it would not necessarily settle the question of why the process began or what continues to influence it.

Likewise, affirming a demonic dimension does not require attributing every symptom, diagnosis, or illness to a demon. Scripture itself contains diverse accounts of sickness and suffering. My proposition is therefore substantial without being universal: demonic oppression may be far more central to some forms of mental distress than contemporary psychiatric models are capable of recognizing, while human suffering remains complex and may involve multiple interacting levels of causation.

Fear Has a Biology

Whatever initiates fear, its expression in the body can be measured.

When the brain detects or predicts threat, neural systems involving the amygdala, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, hypothalamus, and related circuits help organize the response. Acute stress activates the sympathetic nervous system and the HPA axis, mobilizing catecholamines and glucocorticoids such as cortisol. Attention narrows, cardiovascular activity changes, energy is mobilized, and behavior shifts toward protection, escape, freezing, vigilance, or confrontation (Rooszendaal et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2020; StatPearls, 2024).

Fear also alters perception and learning. A nervous system organized around threat becomes increasingly attentive to possible danger. Ambiguous cues may be interpreted as threatening. Emotionally significant memories receive priority. Avoidance can reinforce fear learning, and neural systems involved in fear expression and extinction remain plastic rather than fixed (Paré & Duvarci, 2012; Hagihara et al., 2025).

This is precisely why biology is important to my theological inquiry. If spiritual oppression operates upon an embodied person, the body would not remain biologically neutral. The biological cascade may be the measurable footprint of the person's experience even when biology alone cannot adjudicate its ultimate spiritual source.

A Proposed Pathway: From Spiritual Oppression to Embodied Distress

My working model can be stated as a proposed pathway:

demonic/spiritual oppression -> perception, impression, or activation of threat -> fear/stress circuitry -> autonomic and endocrine response -> changes in attention, memory, bodily sensation, cognition, and emotion -> repeated behavioral adaptation -> altered experience of self and world -> reinforcement of distress.

Most stages after the proposed spiritual source can be investigated empirically. Researchers can examine perception, physiological arousal, neural activity, cognition, sleep, memory, behavior, symptom severity, and functional impairment. Current neuroscience cannot directly measure a demonic being or demonstrate that such a being initiated the cascade.

That methodological limitation should not be confused with a theological conclusion that no spiritual source exists. It simply identifies the boundary of the instrument.

A person living under sustained fear may become hypervigilant. Bodily sensations may themselves become threatening. Attention can become biased toward danger. Avoidance can reinforce fear learning. Sleep may deteriorate. Concentration may narrow. Repeated stress can alter emotional regulation and cognitive performance. Over time, a person's experience of identity and reality may become increasingly organized around threat.

Within my theological framework, these processes do not compete with the possibility of demonic oppression. They may describe how oppression becomes embodied, reinforced, and experienced.

Fear, Perception, and the Sound Mind

The contrast in 2 Timothy 1:7 is especially important to this inquiry because fear is placed opposite power, love, and *sophronismos* - translated variously as self-control, self-discipline, prudence, or sound judgment. Paul was not writing a neuroscience text, and the verse should not be converted into a proof text for a particular brain region. Yet the contrast raises a meaningful interdisciplinary question: What does sustained fear do to the human capacity to perceive, choose, love, remember, reason, and act with clarity?

Modern fear research provides one level of description. Under threat, attention and decision-making are reorganized around survival. Stress hormones interact with neural systems involved in emotion and cognition. Prefrontal-amygdala interactions participate in fear expression and regulation. Chronic dysregulation of stress systems is implicated in anxiety, trauma-related conditions, and other forms of distress (Roozendaal et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2020).

Christian theology provides another level. Fear can torment, enslave, constrict obedience, distort trust, and orient a person away from the character and promises of God. If a demonic spirit of fear works toward bondage and torment, the measurable effects of sustained fear upon attention, physiology, cognition, and behavior become especially relevant.

The theological and biological descriptions need not be identical in order to concern the same human person.

Research Without Theological Disguise

A serious research program must be transparent about what it can and cannot test.

Science cannot currently place a sensor on a person and determine that a demon is present. A study therefore cannot legitimately label a physiological signal as "demonic activity." But research can investigate the human phenomena associated with experiences that participants understand as spiritual

oppression.

One line of inquiry could examine Christians who report episodes they identify as oppression by a spirit of fear. Researchers could document phenomenology, perceived onset, triggers, bodily sensations, cognition, sleep, behavior, trauma history, psychiatric symptoms, spiritual practices, functional impairment, and perceived resolution. Physiological measures might include heart-rate variability, autonomic indices, cortisol patterns, sleep metrics, EEG, neuroimaging, or other measures appropriate to the research question.

Another line could examine episodes participants describe as deliverance, spiritual freedom, or release from fear. Do those events coincide with measurable changes in anxiety, sleep, autonomic regulation, cognition, functioning, or symptom burden? Are changes immediate or gradual? Are they durable? What else changes simultaneously - prayer, belief, environment, relationships, medication, therapy, behavior, substance use, or community support?

Qualitative research is equally important. What do people mean when they say, “a spirit of fear was oppressing me” or “I became free”? How do they distinguish ordinary fear from spiritual oppression? What features recur across testimonies? How do experiences vary across Christian traditions?

The purpose would not be to pretend that a research instrument can prove the spiritual ontology in advance. It would be to investigate carefully the observable human side of a theological claim I openly hold.

Clinical and Ethical Boundaries

Because this inquiry concerns mental illness, it carries ethical responsibilities.

My theological conviction that demonic oppression can contribute substantially to mental distress does not justify assuming demonic causation from a symptom alone. Hearing voices, intense fear, dissociation, mania, depression, paranoia, compulsions, unusual behavior, or altered perception can occur in psychiatric, neurological, medical, trauma-related, substance-related, and sleep-related conditions. A spiritual interpretation should not erase the need to examine those possibilities.

Research also shows that religion and spirituality can interact with mental health in complex ways. Positive religious coping may support wellbeing and treatment engagement, while negative religious coping and exclusively spiritual explanatory models may sometimes correlate with poorer outcomes or delayed recovery (Westhead & Georgiades, 2025). Spiritually integrated psychotherapy literature likewise supports careful and culturally responsive engagement with clients' religious and spiritual frameworks (Captari et al., 2022).

For this reason, an integrated approach should be expansive rather than reductive. Medical and psychiatric evaluation, risk assessment, trauma history, sleep, substance use, medication, relationships, cognition, environment, and spiritual experience can all be relevant. Taking spiritual causation seriously should enlarge the field of inquiry, not make careful assessment unnecessary.

The Hypothesis I Am Carrying Forward

My working hypothesis is this:

Demonic oppression may be a primary spiritual source underlying a substantial portion of what is experienced and categorized as mental illness, and the effects of that oppression may become observable through the same psychological, perceptual, behavioral, neurological, endocrine, and

autonomic systems studied by contemporary science.

Within that broader hypothesis, I understand the spirit of fear as demonic. When fear becomes tormenting, enslaving, persistent, identity-shaping, or destructive, I am interested in whether the biological phenomena we measure may sometimes be downstream expressions of a spiritual attack rather than complete explanations of its origin.

This proposition is theological and interdisciplinary. It has not been empirically established, and the inability of present scientific methods to directly measure spiritual agents creates a real methodological boundary. Yet that boundary does not make the human consequences unstudyable.

Theology can ask: What spiritual intelligence or influence is operating, and what does Scripture say about its nature?

Psychology can ask: What beliefs, memories, interpretations, learned associations, and behaviors participate in the person's distress?

Neuroscience can ask: What circuits and regulatory systems are active?

Physiology can ask: What is occurring in autonomic, endocrine, immune, sleep, and other bodily systems?

Clinical science can ask: What interventions reduce suffering safely, meaningfully, and durably?

My developing methodology begins from the conviction that these questions should not be forced to erase one another. If the human person is mind, soul, body, and spirit, then understanding mental distress may require the courage to investigate all four.

Research Questions

1. Among Christians who identify a spirit of fear as the source of their distress, what psychological, physiological, behavioral, and phenomenological patterns recur?
2. Do reported episodes of spiritual oppression have distinguishable onset, duration, triggers, bodily signatures, or cognitive features?
3. What measurable changes occur when participants report deliverance or release from a spirit of fear?
4. How do fear circuitry, autonomic regulation, sleep, attention, memory, and stress physiology change before, during, and after such reported experiences?
5. Can prospective research distinguish between ordinary fear responses and episodes participants identify as spiritual oppression without presuming either a supernatural or exclusively material explanation in the measurement strategy?
6. What role do trauma, learning, cognition, environment, genetics, medical conditions, and other vulnerabilities play within a model that also allows for spiritual causation?
7. How can spiritually integrated assessment take demonic oppression seriously without collapsing psychiatric, neurological, medical, or trauma-related phenomena into a single explanation?
8. What outcomes follow spiritually oriented interventions such as prayer or deliverance when studied alongside psychological, physiological, behavioral, and functional measures?

Working Proposition

Fear is biologically measurable. I also believe fear can be spiritual in origin.

My proposition is not that neuroscience is wrong when it identifies fear circuitry, stress hormones, autonomic activation, learning, or cognitive changes. My proposition is that these findings may sometimes describe the mechanism of an experience whose source lies deeper than the mechanism itself.

I believe the spirit of fear is real. I believe it is not the Spirit of God and is therefore demonic. I also believe the relationship between demonic oppression and what we call mental illness deserves far more serious investigation than it has received.

The research task is not to dilute that conviction into neutrality. It is to ask disciplined questions of it: What would we expect to observe if it were true? What can be measured? What can be falsified or differentiated? What remains theological? What changes when people report becoming free?

Those are the questions I intend to carry forward.

References

- Captari, L. E., Sandage, S. J., & Vandiver, R. A. (2022). Spiritually integrated psychotherapies in real-world clinical practice: Synthesizing the literature to identify best practices and future research directions. *Psychotherapy*, 59(3), 307-320. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000407>
- Cook, C. C. H. (2020). Mental health and the gospel: Boyle Lecture 2020. *Zygon*, 55(4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/zygo.12656>
- Cook, C. C. H. (2024). Demon possession, theology, and mental health. *Journal of Disability & Religion*, 29(2), 171-189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23312521.2024.2441435>
- Hagihara, K. M., et al. (2025). The role of basolateral amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex in fear: A systematic review. *Cureus*, 17. PMID: 40026920.
- Herman, J. P., et al. (2020). Brain mechanisms of HPA axis regulation: Neurocircuitry and feedback in context. *Stress*, 23(6), 617-632. PMID: 33345670.
- Lloyd, C. E. M., & Panagopoulos, M. C. (2023). Narratives of externality, oppression, and agency: Perceptions of the role of the demonic in mental illness among evangelical Christians. *Pastoral Psychology*, 72, 501-523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11089-023-01079-7>
- Paré, D., & Duvarci, S. (2012). Amygdala microcircuits mediating fear expression and extinction. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 22(4), 717-723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.02.014>
- Roosendaal, B., McEwen, B. S., & Chattarji, S. (2009). Stress, memory and the amygdala. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10, 423-433.
- StatPearls. (2024). Physiology, stress reaction. National Center for Biotechnology Information Bookshelf.
- Thomas, J. C. (2011). *The Devil, Disease, and Deliverance: Origins of Illness in New Testament Thought* (2nd ed.). CPT Press.
- Westhead, M., & Georgiades, A. (2025). The role of spirituality and religiosity in the maintenance and recovery of psychosis: A systematic review. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 19(7), e70061. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.70061>
- The Holy Bible, New King James Version. 2 Timothy 1:7; Romans 8:15; 1 John 4:18; Mark 5:1-20; Luke 8:26-39.