WORKING PAPER 007

Can Faith Heal the Mind?

Supernatural Healing, Mental Illness, and the Research Question of Faith

Alisha Bradley, M.A.
October 2026 | Version 1.0

Independent working paper. This paper presents developing hypotheses, research questions, and interdisciplinary inquiry. It has not undergone peer review.

Abstract

What do we do with a person who says, “I was mentally ill, I encountered God, and I was healed”? Christianity already has language for that claim. Psychiatry has different language: remission, recovery, treatment response, recurrence, diagnostic revision, spontaneous improvement. Psychology can ask about expectation, meaning, identity, behavior, attachment, and social support. Neuroscience can ask what changed in the brain and nervous system. But none of those categories, by itself, answers the whole question. This paper asks whether reports of supernatural recovery from mental illness can be studied seriously without requiring science to prove God and without requiring researchers to dismiss God before the investigation begins. I am particularly interested in the role of faith. The Gospels repeatedly connect faith and healing. If people today report dramatic psychiatric recovery in connection with prayer, faith, conversion, deliverance, worship, or an encounter with God, what exactly should we measure? How quickly did the change happen? How complete was it? Did it last? What else changed at the same time? And are there cases whose course looks meaningfully different from what we would ordinarily expect?

Author's Note

This question is personal for me. I have understood my own recovery from diagnoses of borderline personality disorder, bipolar II disorder, clinical anxiety, and clinical depression as supernatural healing. I do not say that as a substitute for evidence. I say it because my own experience is part of what made me ask the question in the first place: If someone believes God healed them from a psychiatric condition, is there a serious way to investigate what actually happened?

My testimony can tell us what I experienced, what I believed happened, what changed in my life, and how I understand that change. By itself, it cannot prove divine causation. But I also do not think the only intellectually respectable response is to place testimony outside the conversation because it includes God. Testimony can become the beginning of an investigation. We can retrieve records. We can establish timelines. We can examine diagnosis, treatment, functioning, symptom change, recurrence, and durability. We can ask what natural explanations fit and what remains difficult to explain.

That is the spirit of this paper. I am not asking science to put God in a laboratory. I am asking whether science can become better at studying the human outcomes people attribute to God.

The Biblical Claim Is Not Merely "Faith Helps You Cope"

The Bible makes a stronger claim about healing than the statement that religion can make people feel better. Psalm 103:3 describes God as the one who "forgives all your iniquities" and "heals all your diseases." That is the language of the Psalmist, not a quotation from Jesus. But the Gospels repeatedly portray Jesus healing people, and several accounts explicitly connect healing with faith. In Mark 5:34 and Luke 8:48, the woman who had been bleeding for years is told that her faith has made her well. Luke 17:19 uses similar language after the healing of the leper.

That immediately raises a question for me. What is faith doing in these accounts? Is faith simply belief? Expectation? Trust? Openness? Persistence? A willingness to act on what has been believed? Or, within the theological claim of the text, is faith functioning as something more than a psychological state?

Second Timothy 1:7 adds another layer because Christians frequently quote it in conversations about mental health: "God hath not given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind." The Greek word translated "sound mind" in the King James Version is sophronismos, carrying the sense of self-control, sound judgment, or disciplined thinking. I do not think the verse should be turned into a simplistic claim that a Christian can never experience psychiatric illness. But I do think it gives us a biblical vocabulary in which fear, power, love, disciplined thinking, and spiritual life are connected in ways worth examining.

If Scripture speaks seriously about healing, faith, fear, and the condition of the inner person, then I think it is reasonable to ask whether those claims have observable consequences in people who report recovery from mental illness.

What Do We Mean by Faith?

One of the first problems is that "faith" can mean several different things. If a study simply divides people into religious and nonreligious groups, it may miss the very thing we are trying to understand. A person can identify as Christian and have very little expectation that healing is possible. Another person may have extraordinary confidence in God, pray daily, organize life around Scripture, and understand every part of recovery through relationship with God.

So I would want to separate several possibilities:

- Faith as expectation: I believe healing can happen, and I expect change.
- Faith as trust: confidence in God changes fear, hopelessness, vigilance, or perceived threat.
- Faith as practice: prayer, worship, Scripture meditation, fasting, confession, community, and other repeated behaviors may change attention, emotion, sleep, stress, behavior, and social connection.
- Faith as identity and meaning: a person begins to understand self, suffering, guilt, trauma, future, and possibility differently.
- Faith as a theological reality: within Christianity, faith is not merely a psychological variable. It is also understood as a way of trusting, receiving from, and responding to God.

The first four can be studied at least partly through psychology, behavior, physiology, and neuroscience. The fifth is different. It belongs to theology. But I do not think the existence of measurable mechanisms automatically rules out the theological claim. If prayer reduces threat perception, for example, that tells us something about what happened in the person. It does not tell us everything about why it happened or whether God was involved.

What We Already Know - and What We Do Not

Religion and spirituality are already legitimate areas of mental-health research. Studies have examined their relationship to depression, anxiety, suicidality, substance use, trauma, psychosis, coping, well-being, and recovery. Spiritually integrated psychotherapies have also been studied, and some research suggests that explicitly religious or spiritual approaches can be helpful for people for whom faith is important.

That matters because it means we are not starting from zero. Belief, meaning, spiritual practice, religious community, and spiritual well-being can be connected to measurable mental-health outcomes. Neuroscience has also begun asking what happens in the brain during religious and spiritual experiences.

But there is still a large difference between saying “spirituality can influence mental health” and saying “God supernaturally healed a psychiatric disorder.” Existing research does not give us a scientific model proving the second claim. Research on distant intercessory prayer has also produced mixed results. So the question remains open, and it needs to be framed carefully.

Recovery Is Not Automatically a Miracle

This distinction is essential. People can recover from psychiatric conditions. Symptoms can remit. Diagnoses can change. Treatment can work. Some people improve without formal treatment. Some disorders fluctuate over time. A person may also have been misdiagnosed in the first place.

For example, long-term research on borderline personality disorder has shown that many people eventually achieve diagnostic remission. Major depression can remit without treatment in some cases. Bipolar disorders can also enter periods of remission, even though recurrence is common. So if someone says, “I used to meet criteria for this diagnosis and now I do not,” that fact alone does not establish supernatural healing.

But this is where the details become interesting. What if the change was abrupt after years of severe impairment? What if multiple symptoms disappeared at once? What if functioning changed dramatically at the same time? What if the person had not been improving beforehand? What if the change occurred in close connection with a specific religious experience and remained stable for years?

Those questions do not weaken a healing testimony. They are how we begin to understand whether the course of recovery is ordinary, unusual, or genuinely difficult to explain.

We Need a Name for the Phenomenon

I am particularly interested in a narrower group of cases: people who report an abrupt or unusually rapid, clinically meaningful, durable recovery from a psychiatric condition and attribute that recovery to divine intervention in connection with prayer, faith, conversion, deliverance, worship, or another perceived encounter with God.

For research purposes, I have been using the provisional term Spiritually Attributed Extraordinary Recovery, or SAER. The term does not announce that a miracle has been scientifically proven. It simply gives us a neutral way to identify the phenomenon we want to investigate.

I would eventually like to see a SAER registry: a structured collection of reported cases gathered according to the same standards. Instead of only hearing isolated testimonies online, researchers could ask the same questions of every case, obtain records when available, follow people over time, and compare patterns.

What Would Make a Healing Claim More Researchable?

Not every testimony carries the same evidentiary weight. “I used to struggle and now I feel better” is meaningful, but scientifically it tells us much less than a case with years of clinical documentation, a clearly established diagnosis, treatment records, a defined religious event, a sudden change, standardized symptom measures, independent observations, restored functioning, and long-term follow-up.

I would want to know what happened before the reported healing, what happened during it, and what happened afterward. Were medications changed before the event or only later? Was the person already improving? Did family members notice an immediate change? Did work, relationships, sleep, decision-making, and daily functioning change too? Did symptoms return six months later? Five years later?

And I would want the inconvenient information too. If symptoms recurred, record it. If medication continued, record it. If the diagnosis was later questioned, record it. If the person’s story changes over time, record that too. The purpose is not to protect the miracle claim. The purpose is to understand the recovery well enough that different explanations can actually be compared.

The Questions I Want to Ask

1. Among people who say they were supernaturally healed from a psychiatric disorder, how many have a well-documented diagnosis from before the reported healing?

2. How quickly did the reported change occur - in a moment, over hours or days, over several weeks, or gradually over time?

3. Which symptoms changed first, and did everyday functioning improve at the same time?

4. How durable is the recovery at six months, one year, five years, and ten years?

5. How does the course compare with what is ordinarily known about remission and recurrence for that same diagnosis?

6. Did faith increase before the recovery, during the event, or mainly afterward as the person tried to make sense of what happened?

7. What happens to fear, hope, expectancy, identity, sleep, stress physiology, autonomic regulation, social connection, and behavior during these recoveries?

8. What role do prayer, worship, Scripture, deliverance, conversion, forgiveness, or other spiritual practices play in the timing of change?

9. Can independent clinicians, blinded to the religious story, identify some cases as unusually rapid, complete, or atypical based on the records alone?

10. What, if anything, distinguishes SAER cases from spontaneous remission, treatment response, expectancy effects, diagnostic error, regression to the mean, or ordinary developmental change?

The Specific Question of Faith

The phrase “your faith has made you well” is difficult for me to read casually. It appears often enough in the Gospels that I think faith deserves to be treated as a real variable in the healing conversation. But I do not want to reduce it to a formula.

Did faith precede the healing? Did it change what the person expected? Did it change what they were willing to do? Did trust reduce fear enough for the body to leave a chronic state of threat? Did faith reorganize identity so deeply that old patterns no longer held the same power? Or, as Christian theology claims, can faith also be involved in receiving something that cannot be reduced to those mechanisms?

There are also biblical healing accounts in which the recipient’s faith is not emphasized in the same way. That matters. It means a Christian research program should not turn faith into blame. If someone is not healed, we cannot simply conclude that they failed to believe hard enough. That is neither a sound clinical conclusion nor a careful reading of the full biblical witness.

What About the “Sound Mind”?

Second Timothy 1:7 is often quoted as though “sound mind” were the biblical opposite of a psychiatric diagnosis. I do not think the text supports using it that way. But I am interested in what happens when a person deeply internalizes an identity of power, love, and disciplined thinking rather than fear.

Could that change metacognition - the way a person relates to their own thoughts? Could it change catastrophic interpretation, emotional regulation, attentional control, self-efficacy, or symptom identity? Could a biblically grounded intervention built around this passage produce measurable changes compared with a structurally similar secular intervention?

Those are testable questions. And if the intervention helps, we can study the psychological mechanisms without pretending we have exhausted the theological meaning of the verse.

Forgiveness and Healing

Psalm 103 places forgiveness and healing next to one another: God "forgives all your iniquities" and "heals all your diseases." I do not read that as a rule that personal sin causes disease. Scripture itself does not allow such a simple equation. But forgiveness opens another research path because guilt, shame, self-condemnation, moral injury, rumination, reconciliation, and perceived acceptance all have psychological consequences.

What happens in the mind and body when a person moves from "I am condemned" to "I am forgiven"? What happens to threat perception? Rumination? Shame? Relationships? Depression? Anxiety? And if a person experiences divine forgiveness not merely as an idea but as a profound spiritual event, can we observe the psychological and biological changes that follow?

How I Would Begin Studying Extraordinary Healing

I would start with documentation rather than trying to manufacture a miracle in a laboratory. First, build a registry of people reporting spiritually attributed extraordinary recovery and use clear inclusion criteria. Second, conduct deep case studies using clinical records, structured interviews, symptom measures, functioning measures, treatment timelines, collateral interviews, and a detailed account of the spiritual event. Third, compare those cases with people who had the same diagnosis and severity but recovered through conventional treatment, gradual natural remission, or other pathways.

Then I would add independent review. Give de-identified records to clinicians who do not know whether the person attributes the recovery to God. Ask them to rate whether the original diagnosis appears valid, how large the change was, how quickly it occurred, how durable it appears, and how unusual the course is compared with what they would normally expect.

Only after those judgments are made would the religious account be added back into the analysis. That would allow us to ask a fascinating question: Before anyone knows the story involves prayer or God, does the clinical course itself already look unusual?

Prospective research could come later. Faith-integrated interventions could be studied without asking participants to abandon standard care. We could measure symptoms, functioning, stress physiology, sleep, autonomic regulation, cognition, and brain activity where appropriate. And we could follow people long enough to distinguish a powerful temporary experience from durable recovery.

What Science Can Tell Us - and Where It Stops

Science can potentially establish that a person had a well-documented psychiatric condition, that symptoms and impairment changed dramatically, that the change happened within a particular period, that the recovery lasted, and that some common explanations fit the case

better or worse than others. It can measure changes in behavior, physiology, cognition, relationships, sleep, stress, and brain function.

What science cannot directly do is place God on one side of an equation as an experimental variable. If researchers document an extraordinary recovery for which no current medical explanation is adequate, the scientific conclusion is still “unexplained” or “not adequately explained by the mechanisms we measured.” Unexplained is not automatically synonymous with supernatural.

But I think the opposite mistake matters too. “Our methods cannot directly measure God” is not the same statement as “therefore God could not have acted.” A methodological boundary should remain a boundary. It should not quietly become a metaphysical conclusion.

Why Testimony Still Matters

Testimony is often dismissed because it cannot control variables the way a clinical trial can. That criticism is fair if someone is trying to use one testimony as proof for everyone. But unusual observations are often where research begins. A person says, “Something happened to me that I cannot explain.” The next question should not automatically be “How do we dismiss this?” It should be “What exactly happened?”

Document it. Retrieve the records. Establish the timeline. Confirm the diagnosis. Measure the change. Ask what else changed. Follow the person. Look for recurrence. Compare the course with what is known about the condition. Then say clearly what the evidence supports and what remains interpretation.

That approach does not require credulity. It requires curiosity.

The Question I Am Carrying Forward

I keep coming back to the same question: What do we do with people who say, with seriousness and sustained evidence of a changed life, “I was mentally ill, I encountered God, and I was healed”?

I do not think every psychiatric recovery is miraculous. I do not think faith should replace appropriate medical or psychiatric care. I do not think someone who remains ill should be accused of lacking faith. And I do not think my own testimony proves a universal mechanism.

What I do think is that reports of supernatural mental-health healing deserve disciplined study. Faith can be investigated as a measurable human variable - expectation, trust, practice, meaning, identity, behavior - while Christian theology can simultaneously ask whether faith is also a point of relationship to divine healing. Those are not identical claims, and they do not need to be collapsed into one another.

If ordinary mechanisms explain many healing reports, I want to know that. If some cases turn out to involve misdiagnosis, natural remission, treatment response, or expectancy, I want to know that too. But if a subset of cases remains unusually abrupt, durable, well-documented, and difficult to explain after serious investigation, I want us to have the intellectual courage to keep asking questions.

The question is not served by believing every testimony without examination. It is also not served by deciding in advance that God is the one explanation we are not permitted to consider.

References

Benson, H., Dusek, J. A., Sherwood, J. B., et al. (2006). Study of the Therapeutic Effects of Intercessory Prayer (STEP) in cardiac bypass patients: A multicenter randomized trial of uncertainty and certainty of receiving intercessory prayer. American Heart Journal, 151(4), 934-942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2005.05.028

Braam, A. W., et al. (2023). The evaluation of religious and spirituality-based therapy compared to standard treatment in mental health care: A multi-level meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PubMed PMID: 37615090.

Lucchetti, G., Koenig, H. G., & Lucchetti, A. L. G. (2021). Spirituality, religiousness, and mental health: A review of the current scientific evidence. World Journal of Clinical Cases. PubMed PMID: 34621814.

Mekonen, T., Ford, S., Chan, G. C. K., Hides, L., Connor, J. P., & Leung, J. (2022). What is the short-term remission rate for people with untreated depression? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders, 296, 17-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.046

Roberts, L., Ahmed, I., & Hall, S. (2007). Intercessory prayer for the alleviation of ill health. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (1), CD000368. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000368.pub2

Rim, J. I., et al. (2022). The neuroscience of spirituality, religion, and mental health: A systematic review and synthesis. Journal of Psychiatric Research. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.10.003

Whiteford, H. A., Harris, M. G., McKeon, G., et al. (2013). Estimating remission from untreated major depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 43(8), 1569-1585. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001717

Zanarini, M. C., Frankenburg, F. R., Reich, D. B., & Fitzmaurice, G. (2010). Time to attainment of recovery from borderline personality disorder and stability of recovery: A 10-year prospective follow-up study. American Journal of Psychiatry, 167(6), 663-667. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09081130

Zanarini, M. C., et al. (2019). Levels of acceptance and forgiveness reported by patients with BPD and personality-disordered comparison subjects over 20 years of prospective follow-up. Journal of Personality Disorders. PubMed PMID: 30649991.

The Holy Bible. Psalm 103:1-5; Mark 5:25-34; Luke 8:43-48; Luke 17:11-19; 2 Timothy 1:7; James 5:13-16.